

**SUMMARY OF TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW**

It is noted that the Examiner contacted applicant's attorney on 10/06/2008 in order to discuss the claims. The interview was continued on 10/07/2008. The following summary is to provide a complete and proper recordation of the substance of the interview:

(A) the attached exhibit A with proposed amendments to claim 1 was shown; following the interview the attached exhibit B with further amendments to claim 1 was shown;

(B) claim 1 was discussed;

(C) no specific prior art was discussed;

(D) the amendments as shown in the exhibit were proposed and discussed;

(E) the examiner expressed concern that the claims as presently presented are unclear as to what is patentable; the meaning of claim 1 was discussed with reference to the specification and figures; the examiner asked what the claimed method does and what the inventive step is to which the applicant responded that it issues a PTSP and the inventive step is to include the recited PTSE information; the examiner suggested that "LCN exhaustion state" in the preamble be expanded to define the term; applicant proposed that language from paragraph [0031] of the specification could be added to the claim; the examiner provided further suggestions for changes based on exhibit B and discussions with the SPE; those further suggestions are incorporated into the accompanying amendments;

(F) no other pertinent matters were discussed;

(G) no agreement was reached as to any matter during the interview; the examiner agreed to discuss the proposed amendments with the SPE; and

(H) no part of the interview was conducted via electronic mail.